Recommendation R1	A differential rates approach to be taken to setting CIL in Herefordshire.						
Cabinet Response	Accepted Initial evidence from the Council's consultants, Three Dragons, indicates that there are different rates of viability across the County. Viability is the key determining issue in setting CIL rates.						
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Recommendation R2 Cabinet Response	The Task and Finish Group be re-commissioned early in 2013 to review available documentation and engage with stakeholders. Accepted The additional capacity and member input into this important process will be very helpful within the agreed timetable.						
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
Task and Finish Group to be recommissioned.		Chairman of Overview & Scrutiny Committee Paul James, Governance Services	Jan 2013	Member input into ongoing delivery of CIL proposals.	N/A		

Recommendation	The guidelines set out in the Income and Charges Review be applied to CIL rates.						
R3							
Cabinet	Accepted in principle.						
Response	It is important that the Council proceeds in both an efficient and business-like way on the implementation of its CIL						
	regime. The Task and F	inish Group was p	resented with	evidence that there is confide	nce that its introduction would be		
	underpinned by a robust	t and effective mo	nitoring systen	n. The CIL charging level will	however be technically different		
	however from the usual application of fees and charges. In particular it will be advised by financial viability issues, and						
	any periodic reviews will be informed by updates on the viability issues rather than through inflationary increases.						
		, ,		·			
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Recommendation	Include all types of deve	lopment in the CIL	charging fram	nework.			
R4							
Cabinet	Accepted.						
Response	Such an approach will provide clarity for the development industry. It will also provide a basis for the longer-term						
-	introduction of a CIL levy to particular types of development that may attract a zero rate when initially introduced.						
		. ,	·	,	,		
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
N/A at this stage.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
•							

Recommendation	The Task and Finish Group be re-commissioned early in 2013 to review the available documentation, and to engage					
R5	with local stakeholders on the options for phasing CIL payments.					
Cabinet	Accepted.					
Response	The additional capacity and member input into this important process will be very helpful within the agreed timetable. It should however be recognised that there is a formal consultation process which will hav a set and agreed timetable. A key part of the consultation will be direct engagement with stakeholders.					
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress	
Task and Finish Group to be recommissioned.		Chairman of Overview & Scrutiny Committee Paul James, Governance Services	Jan 2013	Member input into ongoing delivery of CIL proposals.	N/A	

Recommendation	A locality-based approach to managing infrastructure projects is applied in the County.						
R6			•	•			
Cabinet	The approach of securing local ownership and management/delivery of infrastructure projects is supported in principle.						
Response	Key elements in the implementation of the CIL regime in the County will be to:						
	ensure that appropriate project management arrangements are in place for all infrastructure projects.						
	ensure that county-wide projects are managed in a way as to deliver corporate objectives and targets.						
	 Ensure that more local infrastructure projects (likely to be based in the County's market towns) have a high degree of local involvement and governance. 						
	stage to be definitive or would include a locality approach in the event the based on county-wide p Neighbourhood Plans r	absence of a definitive Infrastructure Delivery Plan and a detailed CIL charging level it is not possible at this be definitive on the delivery and management arrangements for infrastructure projects. At this stage options include a locality-based approach (as recommended by the Task and Finish Group), a Housing Market Area ich in the event that the focus in the CIL charging levy is based on housing proposals, and a two-tier approach county-wide proposal and other proposals applicable solely to different geographic areas. The roll out of purhood Plans may assist in informing the process and provide information on local infrastructure. These will become clearer as the information becomes available over the next few months.					
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress		
N/A at this stage.		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Recommendation	Ensure that the implementation of CIL encourages and supports the Council's targets for affordable/social housing and							
R7/8	advances the standards of building sustainability of the County's housing stock (7) and introduction of special							
	development category for	development category for high energy efficient, lifetime sustainable schemes(8).						
Cabinet	Accepted.							
Response	Properly adopted CIL payment levies will reflect viability rates throughout the County. These rates will reflect and be							
	informed by the levels of affordable housing being sought. By way of information a zero CIL rate will be applied to							
	affordable housing units.	Officers will be	asked to purs	sue further the issue of reduc	cing CIL payments in respect of			
dwelling units that exceed the adopted building regulations in force at any time.								
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress			
Investigation into the	ne merits and legalities of	Yvonne	Feb 2013	N/A	N/A			
reducing CIL rates	on dwellings built to higher	Coleman						
sustainability standa	ards.							
·								
Recommendation	Development of a commun	nication plan and	workshops in	advance of implementation da	ate.			
R9								
Cabinet	Accepted.							
Response	This on-going engagement will be critical both for speedy and effective implementation of the new arrangements. It will							
•	also consolidate the very effective workshops that have already taken place with the development industry.							
Action		Owner	By When	Target/Success Criteria	Progress			
Development of a detailed communication		Yvonne	4 March	N/A	N/A			
plan and workshops		Coleman	2012					

Recommendation	Delivery and management of infrastructure delivery projects to be investigated by re-commissioned Task and Finish					
R10	Group.					
Cabinet	Accepted.					
Response	Given the efforts already made by the Task and Finish Group it would be helpful to receive further guidance in due					
	course.					
Action	Action Owner By When Target/Success Criteria Progress					
Delivery issues to be considered in future by		Cllr Harvey,	April 2013	N/A	N/A	
Task and Finish Group		Paul James				